

# **Survey : Aim**

- Estimate prevalence of
  - POAG
  - PACG
- Estimate (If possible -----)
  - Progression of occludable angles (PACS) to Angle closure (PAC) and Glaucoma

# **Study population 1995**

- Vellore population 300,000
- 20 clusters from all census wards
- ICMR study on coronary heart disease
- 12 clusters selected for VES

# Vellore Eye Survey 1995

- Vellore population 300,000
- 12 clusters
- study population 5697
- 30-60 age group
- 1932 subjects

# **Vellore Eye Survey**

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- History
- Complete eye examination
  - Hospital
- Slit lamp
- Applanation IOP
- Gonioscopy

# **Complete Eye Examination**

- Dilatation
- Fundus (indirect ophthalmoscopy)
- Slit lamp (exclude PXE etc)
- Disc : Stereoscopic Examination
  - glaucomatous features
  - cup : disc ratio > 0.7
  - cup:disc asymmetry  $\ge 0.2$
  - No photographs

# **Automated Perimetry**

- HFA 30-2
  - Suspicious Discs & / or
  - "Raised" IOP
- Not for "normals"

### **Diagnosis : Ocular Hypertension**

- Elevated IOP (> 21 mm Hg)
- No field defects
- Open angle on Gonioscopy

# **Diagnosis : POAG**

- Disc criteria & / or IOP > 21
- Field defect
  Two of Anderson's criteria
- Open angle on Gonioscopy
- No secondary causes for the above findings

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

#### **PACG: Diagnosis**

- Acute:
  - Painful red eye, raised IOP, blurred vision, vertically oval pupil, closed angles on gonioscopy, no secondary causes

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- Chronic
  - SYNECHIAL
  - APPOSITIONAL

# **Diagnosis:** Chrone ACG

- Synechial
  - Occludable angles on gonioscopy with
  - Typical PAS, with or without
  - Increased IOP, disc or field changes

#### • Appositional

- Occludable angles on gonioscopy with
- Increased IOP
- No PAS
- With or without disc or field changes

#### **Results : Response Rate**

- Target 1932 in the 30-60 age group
- 1521 could be contacted
- 972 subjects responded
- 50.3% of eligible
- (63.9% of those contacted)

#### **Visual Fields: HFA**

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- Based on clinical examination
  - Disc & or IOP criteria
- Appointments given: 169
- Fields done: 82 (48.5%)

# FINAL DIAGNOSIS • POAG: 04 • 08 if we account for fields not done • PACG: 42 • Synechial 33 • Appositional 09 • OHT: 30

# Prevalence (95% CI)

- POAG 4.1/1000 (0.08 8.1)
   8/1000 if we extrapolate for missed fields
- PACG 43.2/1000 ( 30.14 56.3 )
- OHT 30.8/1000 (19.8 41.9)

#### 972 people examined

#### Limitations

- Inexperience
- Small sample
- > 60 age group not included
- 50 % response (63.9 % of contacted)
- Fields obtained in only 50 % of indicated
- PAC and PACG both defined as PACG

#### V E S 1995 : Re-classification

- PACS : 10.35%
- Chronic Angle Closure : 37 persons (3.8 %)
  - Synechial closure : 30 persons
  - Appositional closure : 07 persons
- Primary Angle Closure Glaucoma: 5 (0.5 %)
- POAG : 0.41 % (0.8)

that was in 1995

#### 2000 Survey

To Determine :

- Progression of Occludable Angles to Angle Closure
- Progression of Angle Closure to Angle Closure Glaucoma

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

#### **VES:2000**

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- Randomly selected 110 normals
- All persons with PACS
- All persons with PAC

#### **History & Examination**

- History with specific inquiries regarding H/O acute angle closure glaucoma
- Examination in the hospital
- Masked manner
- Ophthalmologist <u>c</u> two years experience in Glaucoma clinic

# Examination

- Complete Ophthalmic examination
  - Slit lamp (Haag Streit 900)
  - Goldman Applanation tonometry
  - Stereoscopic disc examination using 60 D lens

# Gonioscopy

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- To maintain consistency :
   Initial Goldmann two mirror
- Sussmann Indentation for all
- Same grading system

# **Ocular Biometry**

- Axial length
- Anterior chamber depth
- Lens thickness

Tomey model AL 1000

# **Definitions**

- Primary Angle Closure Suspect :
  - Filtering portion of TM visible < 180<sup>o</sup>
  - No PAS
  - Normal IOP (IOP  $\leq 21 \text{ mm Hg}$ )
  - Normal disc
  - No field defects

#### **Definitions : PAC**

- Primary (appositional) Angle Closure :
  - Gonioscopically PACS
  - Raised IOP ( > 21mm Hg)
  - No PAS
- Primary (synechial) Angle Closure:
  - Gonioscopically PACS
  - PAS
  - ± raised IOP

Disc / Field changes NOT required for diagnosis

### **Definitions : PACG**

- Primary Angle Closure
  - Appositional
  - Synechial
  - AND
- Damage to
  - Disc
  - Field defects

Disc / Field changes Mandatory for diagnosis

#### **Criteria for Progression**

- Disc progression
  - · Field defect not necessary for diagnosis
  - New typical Glaucomatous disc changes
  - Progression of CDR > 0.2 between two visits

#### · Presence of visual field defect on HFA

( 2 Anderson's criteria) & correlating with glaucomatous disc changesConfirmed by repeat field

(LVPE)

• No photographs

#### **Results: Normals**

- 300 persons contacted
  - 75 changed residence
  - 23 not contactable
  - 90 did not respond
  - 01 refused examination
  - 10 expired
  - 01 hospitalized
- 110 persons examined

No significant difference between responders and non - responders

#### **Results : Normals**

- 1 developed chronic synechial angle closure
- 2 developed OHT
- 1 developed NTG
- 6 developed visually significant cataract

(LVPE)

# **Results : PACS**

- 118 persons
  - 82 contacted
  - 34 shifted residence
  - 02 expired
  - 03 refused
  - 29 did not respond
- 50 were re-examined

No significant difference between responders and non - responders

#### **Results : PACS**

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

- 38 bilateral PACS
- 12 unilateral PACS
  - 4 progressed to bilateral PACS

#### **Results : PACS**

- Progression to primary angle closure :
   11 (22%, 95% CI 9.80-34.2)
- Appositional closure : 4
- Synechial closure : 7

# **Results : Progression of PACS**

- All bilateral PACS
- Bilateral progression in 5 of the PACS
- Unilateral progression in 6 of the PACS

#### **Results : PACS in 2000**

- Re classified to open angle : 2
- "kappa" for PACS between the two phases of the study : (.96)

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

(LVPE)

#### **Results : PACS**

- None developed disc and field changes \*
- No blindness due to glaucoma \*
- No patients with H/O of acute angle closure glaucoma \*
- 3 developed visually significant cataracts

\* Could be as high as 6 %

# **Absolute and Relative Risk**

- Progression (AR) in "normals" : 0.9 %
- Progression (AR) in PACS : 22 %
- Relative Risk : 22 / 0.9 : 24.4

# **Biometry : Normal vs PACS**

	PACS (n = 50)	Normal (n = 110)
Axial Length	22.23 (0.76)	22.5 (0.8)
AC Depth	2.76 (0.44)	3.2(0.4)
Lens Thickness	4.48 (0.62)	4.2 (0.5)

LVPE


**PACS : Biometric Parameters** 

MeanSDNo.MeanSDNoAxial length22.230.8083922.090.7911Not significantAC depth2.770.49392.740.411Not significantLens thickness4.620.68394.190.5211Not significant
length         Interview           AC         2.77         0.49         39         2.74         0.4         11         Not significant           Lens         4.62         0.68         39         4.19         0.52         11         Not significant
depth Lens 4.62 0.68 39 4.19 0.52 11 Not significant


# **Results: Primary Angle Closure**

- 37 persons
  - 32 contacted
  - 2 expired
  - 2 shifted residence
  - 1 refused examination
- 28 persons re-examined

No significant difference between responders and non - responders

#### PAC Progression : Disc & Field Criteria

- Progression to glaucoma (Disc and Field)
   8 (28.5 %, 95% CI 12.3 % 44.6 %)
- Primary appositional angle closure glaucoma : 2
- Primary synechial angle closure glaucoma : 6

(LVPE)

# **Results: Primary Angle Closure**

- Bilateral PAC : 7 of 14 progressed
- Unilateral PAC: 1 of 14 progressed
- Relative risk : 7

bilateral PAC have 7 times the risk of progression to glaucon

# **Results : Primary Angle Closure**

- One eye previously diagnosed as appositional closure reclassified PACS
- 4 of 7 appositional closure developed synechiae

#### **Results : Primary Angle Closure**

- No blindness due to glaucoma \*
- No patients with H/O of acute angle closure glaucoma \*
- · One blind due to Retinitis Pigmentosa
- 3 persons developed visually significant cataracts

\* Could be as high as 10 %

#### **PACG : Biometric Parameters**

	Non progression		Progression		Significance level			
	Mean	SD	No.	Mean	SD	No		
Axial length	22.13	0.80	20	22.43	0.65	8	Not Significant	
AC depth	2.71	0.45	20	2.6	0.2	8	Not Significant	
Lens thickness	4.69	0.61	20	4.6	0.29	8	Not Significant	
No difference between groups					Ľ.	/PI		

#### **Summary : PACS**

- 22 % may progress to elosure
- No disc or field changes; no blindness
- Laser PI may not be warranted for all occludable angles
  - Cataract surgery
- Special situations like repeated dilatation

(LVPE)

# **Summary : Primary Angle Closure**

- 28.5 % progress to angle closure glaucoma
- (Laser PI is effective in early cases)
- · No blindness due to glaucoma

#### By Product : 5 year Progression to OHT

(LVPE)

- 110 normals
- 25 of 29 OHT re-examined
  - Corrected IOP (for CCT)
- Progression to POAG : based on typical optic disc changes with corresponding field defects on automated perimetry

# **Progression OHT**

- 2 reclassified as normal (CCT)
- Progression to POAG
  - 17.4%; 95 % CI: 1.95 32.75)
- RR of progression for OHT
   19.1 (95% CI: 2.2 163.4)
- All who progressed: bilateral OHT
- All who progressed
  - IOP fluctuation > 8 mm Hg (Day DVT)

# VES

- Population based information
  - Prevalence 1995
  - Progression 2000
- Lots & Lots of Limitations
- W ---- I ------ E CI's

